



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_	
09/755,060	01/08/2001	Jill E. Wood	BAYER-1 DI	8038	_	
MILLEN, WE	9/755,060 01/08/2001 Jill E. Wood 3599 7590 11/27/2001 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.	RANIGAN, P.C.	EXAM	INER		
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.		HIGEL, FLOYD D			
ARLINGTON,	, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_	
			1626		Ī	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summa

Applicant(s)

O9/155,000

JILL B. WOOD B.T AL

Examiner

FLOYD D, HIBBL 1626

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Response

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE THEEL MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication.
- If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

	•	•			
Status					

	,
Responsive to communication(s) filed on October 11, 200	1,
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, p accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	
Disposition of Claims	
X7 Claim(s) / 8 70 3	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
# Claim(s) 1 8 TO 3/	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approve	ed ⊂ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examine	er.
C. The encification is chicated to but the Evaminer	

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
 □ received.
 - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_____
 ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 - *Certified copies not received:_____

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
 ☐ Other_____

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. Government Printing Office: 1997 — 417-376/5030

Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 1626

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18 to 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,187,799.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there is a huge overlap between the claims of the instant application and those of the patent.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Floyd D. Higel at telephone number (703) 308-4530.

The fax number for this unit is (703) 308-7922.

Higel:mv

November 16, 2001

the D. A.gal

PATENT PRIMARY EXAMINEF

ART UNIT +23/628